TENNESSEE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Forensic Services Crime Laboratory 3021 Lebanon Road, P.O. Box 140170 Nashville, TN 37214 (615) 741-4476

OFFICIAL LABORATORY REPORT

TO: BILL PRIDEMORE

METRO NASHVILLE P.D.

200 JAMES ROBERTSON PKWY

NASHVILLE, TN 37201

LAB NUMBER:

923.12324

COUNTY:

DAVIDSON

DATE REPORTED:

01/15/93

AGENCY CASE #:

92260624

SUBJECT(S) WILSON, CYRUS D

VICTIM(S)

LUCKETT, CHRISTOPHER

RECEIPT INFORMATION

RECEIVED FROM: B. PRIDEMORE

DATE RECEIVED: 11/24/92

EXHIBIT NUMBER:

20038220

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION: TWO SHOTSHELL CASES

DISPOSITION:

HOLD, PLEASE PICK UP PROMPTLY

EXAMINATION

RESULTS

SHOTSHELL CASE EXAM

MANUFACTURER OF SHOTSHELL CASE WINCHESTER

GAUGE OF SHOTSHELL CASE

SIZE OF SHOT

RESULT COMMENTS

SEE EXHIBIT 20038222 FOR TEST RESULTS.

EXHIBIT NUMBER:

20038221

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION: SHOTSHELL WADDING

DISPOSITION:

HOLD, PLEASE PICK UP PROMPTLY

EXAMINATION

RESULTS

SHOTSHELL WAD EXAM.

GAUGE OF SHOTSHELL WAD

12

MANUFACTURER_OF SHOTSHELLWAD CONSISTENT WITH WINCHESTER

TYPE OF SHOTSHELL WAD

FIBER

EXHIBIT NUMBER:

20038222

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION:

SHOTGUN

DISPOSITION:

HOLD, PLEASE PICK UP PROMPTLY

LAB NUMBER: DATE REPORTED: 92112324 01/15/93

PAGE:

EXAMINATION

FIREARM EXAMINATION

TYPE OF FIREARM
TYPE OF ACTION

MANUFACTURER OF FIREARM

MODEL OF FIREARM

CALIBER/GAUGE OF FIREARM

SERIAL NUMBER OPERATING CONDITION

SAFETY FUNCTION

RESULT COMMENT

RESULTS

SHOTGUN

PUMP

WINCHESTER

12

12 1470814

OPERABLE

OPERABLE

TEST SHOTSHELL CASES FROM EXHIBIT 20038222 WERE MICROSCOPICALLY EXAMINED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SHOTSHELL CASES IN EXHIBIT 20038220. BASED ON THESE COMPARATIVE EXAMINATIONS, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT:

A. THE SHOTSHELL CASES IN EXHIBIT 20038220 HAD BOTH BEEN FIRED IN THE SAME FIREARM.

B. THE SHOTSHELL CASES IN EXHIBIT 20038220 HAD NOT BEEN FIRED IN EXHIBIT 20038222.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

STEVE SCOTT

SPECIAL AGENT / FORENSIC SCIENTIST

CYRUS DEVILLE WILSON, Petitioner,)	
,)	
V.)	Case no. 93-A-176
)	
THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, Respondent.)	

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF DAVIDSON

Personally appeared before me the undersigned notary public in and for said County and State, Benji Amos, who having been duly sworn according to law does make oath as follows:

- 1. The Affiant makes this Affidavit from information known personally to the Affiant.
- 2. The Affiant was not a witness in the trial of State of Tennessee vs. Cyrus Wilson, case No. 93-A-176, Division IV, Criminal Court, Davidson County, Tennessee. The Affiant was best friend of Cyrus Wilson and alleged to be at the scene of the crime according to statements made by Rodriguez Lee prior to and during trial.
- 3. Upon being picked up for questioning by Detectives only days after the crime was committed Rodriguez Lee stated that he witnessed Affiant along with Cyrus Wilson chase victim down while Cyrus Wilson shot victim in the face. These statements were

given to and recorded by Detective Bill Pridemore. Det. Pridemore would testify at trial as rebuttal witness and use statements made at this same time to substantiate Lee's false testimony at trial.

- 4. The Affiant states that he was a juvenile at the time of the murder and that he had been picked up by Davidson County Police and detained at a Davidson County Juvenile Detention Center a few days prior to victim's murder. The Affiant further states that not only was he detained prior to victims murder, but also throughout the entire time that the murder would have taken place. Therefore, there is no way possible that Affiant could have been at the scene of the crime during the murder as alleged in statements by Rodriguez Lee prior to and during trial.
- 5. The Affiant denies any knowledge of the death of Christopher Luckett and states that anyone who would make statements alleging that he has any knowledge or could have been at the scene of the crime would be untruthful and false.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Benji Amos

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the

, 2013.

My Commission Expires:

2

CYRUS DEVILLE WILSON, Petitioner,)	•
v.)	Case no. 93-A-176
)	Case 110. 33-A-1/0
THE STATE OF TENNESSEE,)	
Respondent.)	

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF DAVIDSON

Personally appeared before me the undersigned notary public in and for said County and State, Wanda Polk, who having been duly sworn according to law does make oath as follows:

- 1. The Affiant makes this Affidavit from information known personally to the Affiant.
- 2. The Affiant was not a witness in the trial of State of Tennessee vs. Cyrus Wilson, case No. 93-A-176, Division IV, Criminal Court, Davidson County, Tennessee. The Affiant is the aunt of Cyrus Wilson who had possession of his white 1968 Chevrolet Bel·Air alleged to be seen at the scene of the crime according to statements made by Rodriguez Lee prior to and during trial.
- 3. The Affiant states that prior to the night of the murder Cyrus Wilson's white Chevy Bel-Air had been brought to her house and parked in her yard for reasons

specifically unknown to her, the car was undrivable and therefore unable to remain parked in the parking lot of Mr. Wilson's apartment complex without the fear of being towed. The car was moved to her house long before the murder would have taken place and remained there throughout the entire time of the murder. The Affiant further states that because the car had not been removed from her yard prior to or throughout the entire time the murder took place any statements made by anyone saying that they saw Cyrus Wilson's white Chevy Bel·Air anywhere other than her yard at the exact time of the murder is in fact untruthful and false.

4. The Affiant also states that district Attorney Kimberly Hattaway and Detective Bill Pridemore did come out to her house prior to trial and question her. Upon leaving they would proceed to bust the lock on the trunk of Mr. Wilson's vehicle in order to gain entry to and then search the rest of the car. The Affiant at the time did in fact possess the keys to the car, but was never asked for them nor was she given any specifics on what they were looking for or what they may have retrieved.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the

_day of *Illiu*

² . 2013.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

1arch 8, 2016

CYRUS DEVILLE WILSON, Petitioner,

v.

Case no. 93-A-176

THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, Respondent,

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF DAVIDSON

Personally appeared before me the undersigned notary public in and said County and State, Rashime Abdul Williams, who having been duly sworn according to law does make oath as follows:

- 1, The Affiant makes this Affidavit from information known personally to the Affiant.
- 2, The Affiant was not a witness in the trial of *State of Tennessee vs. Cyrus Wilson*, Case No. 93-A-176, Division IV, Criminal Court, Davidson County, Tennessee. The Affiant is the cousin of Cyrus Wilson who would ultimately turn over the alleged murder weapon to detectives as described by Rodriguez Lee.
- 3, The Affiant was approached by detectives who asked about and described a 12-gauge shotgun that Cyrus Wilson got from Rodriguez Lee's house that Cyrus kept in

the trunk of his car. Prior to and after arrest Cyrus car had been kept at Affiant's mother's house, Cyrus Wilson's aunt.

- 4, Upon the detectives request the gun was retrieved by Affiant and turned over to detectives who then confirmed description of alleged murder weapon as described to them by Rodriguez Lee.
- 5, The Affiant was subpoenaed by the State of Tennessee to testify for the state but was never called to court.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 2 day of March, 2012.

Sworn to and subscribed of the state of tennessee NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires:

Novary Plublic W. Jacob

CYRUS DEVILLE WILSON, Petitioner,)	
v ,)	Case no. 93-A-176
THE STATE OF TENNESSEE,)	
Respondent)	

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF TENNESSEE

COUNTY OF DAVIDSON

Personally appeared before me the undersigned notary public in and for said County and State, Robert Rodriguez Lee, who having been duly sworn according to law does make oath as follows:

- 1. The Affiant makes this Affidavit from information known personally to the Affiant.
- 2. The Affiant was a witness in the trial of *State of Tennessee vs. Cyrus Wilson*, Case No. 93-A-176, Division IV, Criminal Court, Davidson County, Tennessee. The Affiant was called by the State of Tennessee to testify on behalf of the State. The Affiant has had the opportunity to review the transcript of his trial testimony.
- 3. The Affiant testified during the trial that he witnessed Cyrus Wilson shoot and kill Christopher Luckett. Specifically, the Affiant testified at trial that Cyrus Wilson possessed a twelve-gauge shotgun and that the shotgun came from the Affiant's residence. He further testified that he

observed Cyrus Wilson remove the shotgun from a blue duffel bag. The Affiant testified that Cyrus

Wilson chased Christopher Luckett on the night of the homicide and that Luckett got stuck

underneath a patio fence. The Affiant further testified at trial that he heard Luckett plead "please

don't kill me." The Affiant finally testified that the Affiant saw Cyrus Wilson fire the shotgun at

close range into the Luckett's face.

4. The Affiant states that his testimony at trial was not true. The Affiant did not observe

Cyrus Wilson on the evening of the alleged killing. Furthermore, the Affiant did not observe Cyrus

Wilson shoot and kill anyone. The Affiant did not see Cyrus Wilson with a shotgun on the night that

Christopher Luckett was killed.

5. The Affiant was thirteen (13) years old at the time he was first interviewed by detectives

in this matter. The Affiant resided with his mother, Nietta White. The detectives came into the

home and placed handcuffs on the Affiant. The detectives stated to the Affiant that he was going

to be arrested for murder in the first degree. The detectives began to question the Affiant regarding

the death of Christopher Luckett.

6. The Affiant denied any knowledge of the death of Luckett. The detectives then asked the

Affiant about Cyrus Wilson. They explained the evidence against Cyrus Wilson and the murder

scene. The detectives told the Affiant that they needed him to tell them about what he saw at the

scene and then, the Affiant would not be arrested. The Affiant's mother then said that he needed to

tell them whatever he knew. The Affiant then told the detectives what he believed they wanted to

hear. This was not truthful.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

ROBERT RODRIGHEZ LEE

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 19th day of October, 2010.

Phondo B. Malhaup Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

